Report of Proceedings had in the above-entitled matter this 3rd day of January, A.D. 2022 at the hour of 9:30 o'clock a.m., via video teleconference.
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Mr. William O'Shields, Chief Deputy Commissioner
Mr. Todd Thielmannn, Chief Deputy Commissioner
Mr. Roland C. Lara, First Assistant Commissioner
Ms. Debra Brown, Deputy In Charge


```
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Good morning. Can we call the meeting of the Cook County Board of Review to order? Let's do the roll call.
Commissioner Cabonargi?
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Here.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Commissioner Tammy Wendt?
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Present.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Let the record reflect that all
Commissioners are present.
Is there a motion to approve the Minutes from
the last meeting?
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Actually, Commissioner Rogers, I think we were going to begin the meeting with the Pledge of Allegiance.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Commissioner Wendt, would you like to begin?
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Sure.
(Pledge of Allegiance)
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Is there a motion to approve the Minutes from the December 6th, 2021 meeting?
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: So moved.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Is there a second?
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Second.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: All in favor indicate so by saying
```

"aye".
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Let the record reflect that the motion
passes unanimously.
Old Business: Is there an update from the
staff on the status of completion of the vaccine surveys?
CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER O'SHIELDS: Good morning.
Happy New Year to everyone. I'm wishing that all is well with
you and your respective families.
I do have an update of the responses, per
staff and per Board group. And this was updated as of -- I
think it was December 30th. And let me just --
I did distribute a copy of the pie chart. But
just to simplify things, let me present. I'll share my screen
with you all.
So, as of today -- and I don't think that the
numbers changed much from Thursday, when we ran the numbers.
So we'll just start with the...
(Whereupon, there was a brief pause.)
CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER O'SHIELDS: NOw, the pool is
all Board employees (demonstrating). Okay?
So in looking at the Chief Clerk's staff, you
had a hundred percent participation. The same with the
Secretary's Office. And in regards to the Commissioners'

```
```

staffs, Commissioner Wendt's staff was 55 percent
participation; Commissioner Cabonargi, 94 percent; and
Commissioner Rogers, that would be a hundred percent.
So these are the numbers, as they stood
today -- or as they stand today. However, please be aware of
the fact that we last updated this -- that was Thursday,
December 30th, 2021.
Are there any questions?
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Roland, I think you're on mute.
FIRST ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LARA: Sorry.
Chief W. O'Shields, I do have updated
information. I spoke with the two members of my staff that
were included in this. They thought that they had
participated. They did not. They are both vaccinated. I'm
not going to tell you who their names are, but they are both
vaccinated. They're boosted.
And there were some tech issues. They thought
they had completed the survey. It turns out that this did not
hit our survey. But I spoke to them both personally today. So
Commissioner Carbonagri's staff is in 100 percent compliance.
CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER O'SHIELDS: Okay. Thank you.
And we will update the records to reflect such.
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Roland and I spoke about this
before. They'll also be completing the survey. So the

```
```

Secretary's Office has complete records.
CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER O'SHIELDS: Thank you very
much.
FIRST ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LARA: Yes, of course. Yep.
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: And, William, just to jump back
here for a second, if you could walk us through, so this is the
vaccination survey that was sent to each employee of the Board.
And when was it sent to them?
CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER O'SHIELDS: Well, it was
originally sent in -- let's see. Well, I've got to look back,
because I know the original due date --
(Whereupon, there was a brief pause.)
CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER O'SHIELDS: What I'm doing is
just referring to some of my notes from some of the past
meetings regarding this issue.
So, countywide, there was a November 1st
deadline to respond to the survey. And then you had -- and
this is just a timeline.
And so, as of November 8th, all County
employees were to be fully vaccinated. However, we
recirculated the survey because there was a question about
requests for accommodations. However, you could not request an
accommodation unless you responded to the survey. So we
redistributed the survey with the deadline of December 15th.

```
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So that's the timeline in regards to the deadlines and whatnot. And I do believe the original survey -Let's see. Debra, can you...
(Whereupon, there was a brief pause.) CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER O'SHIELDS: I don't want to guess, but it was quite some time ago, before the November deadline. I just don't explicitly recall when the survey originally was distributed.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: But \(I\) know the issue came up at the last meeting, December 6th. And that's when it was recirculated. And I believe the new deadline of December 15th was established.

And just for clarity, and for the record, this is a Cook County policy, in terms of updating survey status. And then we have our own Board of Review -- or our staff determined that a Board of Review requirement for vaccination and completion of the survey, I believe, should be implemented as well.

Is that right?
CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER O'SHIELDS: Correct.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Commissioner Wendt, are you aware of any updates with your staff with regard to the cook County policy to both complete the survey and reports of vaccination status?
```

        COMMISSIONER WENDT: Just what I'm looking at.
        COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: So, Commissioner Wendt, just
    looking at this, it looks like the shared pool staff of the
    Clerk's staff, and the Secretary, and the other two
    Commissioners' staff are at a hundred percent completion of the
    survey.
    Do you want to talk a little bit about your
    plans to get your staff to a hundred percent?
COMMISSIONER WENDT: You know, my position hasn't changed
since the last meeting. My opinion hasn't changed. I'd
recommend waiting on the Supreme Court of the United States.
They're hearing oral arguments on January 7th about whether or
not these mandates should be stayed. I'm concerned about
HIPAA, with the dissemination of individual vaccine status
through regular e-mail to unauthorized individuals.
As it stands, our HR staff, Clerk staff --
Roland just told you, you know, that he saw who was vaccinated
and who wasn't. So all senior staff has access to this private
information. And I think that that's going to be a problem as
well.
So that's where I'm at. I've told my staff
that I'm waiting to hear on the United States Supreme Court
ruling.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: If I'm understanding you, Commissioner

```
Wendt, you are not requiring your staff to complete the survey
regarding the vaccination status; is that correct?
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Not at this time. Not right now.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: And you're not requiring your staff to
notify the Board who is and who isn't vaccinated for their own
personal safety as well as the safety of other individuals as
we develop a return-to-work plan?
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: I just answered your question.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: No. The latter was a different
question. It's about us knowing who is and is not vaccinated
for the safety of those individuals as well as the safety of
other staff at the Board.
            That's not something you want us to know;
correct?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Well, due to the anticipated workload being heavy and the solitary nature of property tax analysts, our hearings are going to continue remotely. There's no need to return to the office and risk the safety of anyone, especially with the new variant and the breakthrough cases.

So I don't see a health issue here of transmission of anything. I don't think that requiring them to answer these questions and violating their rights, potentially, is necessary right now, because we're not in the office.

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Sure. And what I'd just address
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is what Commissioner Rogers is saying, in that it is the chicken and the egg.

The staff has had a return-to-work policy, too, now that we've had to revisit in the light of Delta and Omicron; but they're continuing to plan to get us back in the office on at least a hybrid schedule with some -- with the analyst staff being in the office part-time and then at home part-time.

You know, \(I\) can only speak to my staff. Everyone is doing a fantastic job, but we do need to get back to a new normal. I need staff to be mentoring each other in the office. Those soft skills of mentorship and team teaching need to happen.

You know, we just voted to approve our Minutes from the last meeting. And if you look at the Minutes from the last meeting, by a vote of 2 to 1 , Commissioner Rogers and \(I\), in the affirmative, we voted to approve a Board policy for vaccination; and that policy applies to all Board employees. It's job-related. It's consistent with business necessity, if the Board is going to be requiring employees to return to work in its facilities, or physically together in any location, even if it's occasionally or part-time; and, importantly, if the policy is systemwide and contains exemptions and processes that comply with the EEOC
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guidelines. And the 7th Circuit has been clear on this case law.

I asked last time if you had a State's Attorney's opinion supporting the argument that our vaccination policy was impermissible, or not legally supported. And if you do, I'd love you to share it. Again, if not, I'll be seeking one from the State's Attorney's Office to seek an opinion.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Okay. That's fine. I'm seeking the opinion of the Supreme Court. I think that's going to override the Cook County State's Attorney.

And, you know, as far as --
We're not in person right now. Jesse White just closed his office permanently. There's a reason for that. There's a reason that we're not in person. So we have time to wait on the Supreme Court and their opinion.

And if you're worried about --
You know, how are you going to control the public that comes into our office? How are you going to -- do you ride the train? Do you ask for the vac status of the people on the train with you? You know, these are all things that have not been thought through. There's no way to mandate the practicing Bar that comes in or the public that comes into our office.

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Well, I would disagree. I mean,

I think the public placing is the easiest. You have to be masked to come into our space. We've got physical barriers, the plastic shields. And then we can require proof of vaccination cards from the attorneys, if we choose, to prove that they're fully vaccinated, if they want to appear before the Board in purpose -- in person.

But talking about just the Board policy, all we did last meeting was create a Board policy that's systemwide that applies to all of the employees of the Board, equally. We can't even start the process of returning to work on a hybrid system, part-time, until, to Commissioner Rogers' point, we know who among our colleagues is vaccinated and who's not.

You know, the safety and health of our employees and public is the most important thing. And we can't return to work until we know who is vaccinated and who's not. And this is simply a survey.

And the fact that a little more than half of your staff has completed it and that, based upon your answer to my question, you don't have any plans to get that to a hundred percent -- and, in fact, you're not encouraging them to complete it -- is concerning, because that's in violation of a Board policy. You voted against it, but it's still a policy that applies to you and your staff. COMMISSIONER WENDT: Thank you for your thoughts on this.
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I will take all of it into consideration.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Respectfully, those are not just thoughts. Those are -- that's the policy of the Board, per a vote of the majority of the Commissioners.

Are you telling us that you're not going to comply with the policy of the Board with regard to reporting vaccination status and completing the survey?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: I, respectfully, don't work for you, Larry; or you, Mike. So I've been individually elected, as have both of you.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: What about the staff?
COMMISSIONER WENDT: That's my staff. Do I have control over your staff?

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: The staff works for the County, and we have a policy for all Board of Review County employees. So, yes, if you don't want to report that you're not vaccinated and if you don't want to complete the survey, I guess that's something that the voters can take up with you. Although, I do think you're required to comply.

But the staff certainly has to comply with all Board of Review policies. And that is a Board of Review policy that was passed and has now been implemented now for several months. Your staff is not immune from complying with Board of Review policies.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: What does that mean? What does that mean? Does that mean that you can discipline or fire my staff?

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Well, that's something we would never want to do. But, obviously, if they don't comply with the policy, that may be something that is on the table. I guess we have to decide that.

I've never had a Commissioner, in my history at the Board, who refused to require their staff to comply with a Board policy, let alone a policy that is consistent with what's happening throughout the state and country.

But, specifically, the Board policy has been passed by a majority of the Commissioners. It's something that the staff has to comply with. That's pretty elementary.

Are you not going to require your staff to comply with the Board policy?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: I think that, if we're going to open this up, we're going to have to review all Board of Review policies, then, and ensure that the Clerk, HR, and both of your staffs are following all Board of Review policies. And then I get to decide whether or not they're complying with the policy and fire them, if \(I\) want to.

Is that what you're saying?
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Commissioner Wendt, I'm not telling you what you can and can't do. I'm simply --
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You know, that's not on the Agenda. If you want to deal with something like that, that's up to you. I'm just talking about what we are currently aware of, which is that your staff is a little over 50 percent in compliance with the Board policy.

I want to say that we even extended the time period for compliance, based on the last meeting. And now we're hearing that you, despite a vote of the majority of the Commissioners and a policy of the Agency, are not requiring your staff to comply.

And it's a health concern, quite frankly. We cannot plan a return to work effectively without knowing the vaccination status of all of the employees. So this is purely something -- it's not vindictive. It's meant to prepare the Board to do the work of the Agency. You're asking whether we can terminate them. I mean, you should be enforcing the policy of the Agency.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: I didn't vote for this policy. I'm not going to enforce an unconstitutional policy, in my opinion, that's not even been decided on by the Supreme Court.

And I also want to say you mentioned that it wasn't on the Agenda. We can discuss anything, according to the OMA statute. We just can't take action unless it's on the Agenda. I just, you know, want to remind you of that.
```

    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Well, I know it's your practice to try
    and blindside Commissioners with different issues without
    giving us any notice of the Agenda item. But, again, just
    sticking to the Agenda item, are you telling us that you will
    not be enf- --
    You're telling us, I guess, that you will not
    be enforcing the policy, and you're leaving it up to the two of
    us and the Agency to decide what disciplinary action, up
    through and including termination, is available for your staff
    for failure to comply with Board policy?
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: That's not what I said. I already
    said --
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: But are you going to --
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: I'm going to take this into
    consideration, everything that we're discussing right now. And
I'm waiting for the oral arguments from the Supreme Court.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: You're not a party to that litigation.
This is -- that litigation, and the result of that litigation,
is separate and apart from our Board policy that we voted upon.
And that is the policy of the Agency.
You specifically asked for this meeting. We
have this meeting. Following up on the last meeting, you voted
to confirm that the Minutes from the last meeting are accurate.
And now we're asking about your compliance with a policy that's

```
been established and voted upon by a majority of the
Commissioners; and you're telling us that you're not going to
do it.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Whether or not you think the United
States Supreme Court's decisions apply to you or not, I'm
waiting on their decision.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: That's not what I said.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: It's a constitutional question.
That's why they're hearing it. Do you think that that doesn't
apply to our office?
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: It's a constitutional question not
about the Board of Review's policy.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: It certainly relates to the
vaccination mandates, Commissioner Rogers. It's all about
constitutionality of the mandates.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Respectfully, the survey asks that you
report your status. You're not even reporting your status or
the status of your staff.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Well, it looks like 55 percent of
them did.
            And I think it's about the mandate of the
survey as well, so I'm not doing anything.
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: What do you mean "So"? I
    don't --
```

```
        COMMISSIONER WENDT: What's that?
        COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: You are single-handedly
preventing the Board from reopening -- you, alone.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: I am?
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Single-handedly.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: So is Jesse White single-handedly
preventing the Secretary of State from reopening?
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Why are we talking -- why are
you talking about Jesse White? You said his office is
permanently closed. He's closed for the next 2 weeks, and he
announced it publicly so people can plan around it. We can't
do any planning because of you.
    I look at this chart; and half of your staff,
in spite of your direction, has done the right thing and filled
out the survey -- not because of you. In spite of you, to
their credit, your staff filled out the survey. Okay? We
cannot --
    You are single-handedly seeing to we cannot
reopen the Board. You, alone, are doing that -- you. And
that's your choice. That is your choice to do that, to be a
disruptive force and to prevent us from moving forward here.
    And we've got enough stress on us right now,
where the session is going to run very long and really stress
our employees --
```
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COMMISSIONER WENDT: The Board of Review is open, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: -- and we're approaching 2 years of being out of the office. And we need to get back into the office to serve the public and to do the best job we can by working together.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: The Board of Review is open.
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: And I credit --
Don't interrupt me when I'm speaking.
A credit to your 55 percent who did the right thing, in spite of you -- in spite of you and your failed leadership.

We have passed a personnel policy, no different than a time and attendance policy or a leave policy. This is a health and safety policy, by 2 to 1 . You voted against that policy. You voted against following the science and following vaccine policies. You'll have to live with that.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Do you speak to all --
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: We have a policy that was passed, 2 to 1. And you, as a Commissioner, do not have the right, as you just did, to get on and tell your staff, "Don't follow that, because $I$ voted against that policy". They're not your employees. They're Board employees.

And one more thing -- and I'll give you the
floor: You keep suggesting here that if your staff is in violation of a policy and you're not going to enforce it and
you force the Commissioners, as a whole, to take action,
that -- you're threatening, suggestively, that you're going to
retaliate against our staffs for alleged misconduct.
If there's misconduct with our staff, please
let us know. I'm not aware of any. But if you are -- and you
keep suggesting you are -- you have a responsibility to do one
of two things: put up or shut up.
The floor is yours.
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Thank you.
Do you speak to all women this way,
Commissioner?
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: That's insulting.
COMMISSIONER WENDT: And don't laugh. Okay? Don't
laugh.
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: That is so insulting. And it's
such an insult to all strong women. More than 50 percent of my
staff identify as female. And for you to suggest that I'm
overtalking you not because of your incompetence but because of
your gender, that's insulting.
The answer to the question is, no.
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Right. Right. Pointing the finger.
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: When you're the reason that we
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can't reopen, yeah. Right there.
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Really? Have I been the reason that we can't reopen the Board?

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Commissioner Tammy Wendt. In case the record's not clear, the reason the Board cannot move forward with reopening, in light of COVID-19, is because of Commissioner Tammy Wendt, period, full stop.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: That's a great soundbite. That's a great soundbite, but it's wrong. The public can come in anytime. The Board of Review is open. You're well-aware of that.

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: I've never said that the Board wasn't open. I'm saying the staff can't come back into the office to do our work together and return to a new normal. The staff has presented a hybrid schedule that allows us to safely come back to the office.

By the way, I'm not sure if you've ever been to the office. I mean, it's been over a year that you've been a Commissioner. I've never even seen you there.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Oh, are we going to discuss that now? Okay. Let's talk about how often you've come into the office, Commissioner, in the past -- how long have you been a Commissioner? 10 years?

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: 10 years.

```
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Let's talk about that. How often do
you come into the office?
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: I was in last week.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Yes. Yes. Yes, I've been there.
But guess what? The Board of Review has not been open to our
employees since I've been elected, but I have been there. I
don't understand what the point is here.
    But, you know, you just said, "Commissioner
Tammy Wendt is the reason the Board of Review can't open". We
are open. I think the public needs to know that.
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: No, I did not say that we can't
open. I said we can't bring the staff back yet. Be clear.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Let's move on.
    FIRST ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LARA: Commissioner Wendt,
I don't think Allen is on the call.
    William, you're on the call.
    But we've had a full staff at the -- you know,
at the front desk, I think, the entire time.
    William, please correct me if I'm wrong.
    CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER O'SHIELDS: We did return to
having the front counter fully staffed 5 days a week when we
reopened the Board for the 2021 tax year. So that was back in
July. And then we also have had folks --
    We do need a physical presence for issues such
```

as mail and things of that nature that have to be processed in a timely manner; but it's pretty much been the front counter staff as well as the Secretary's Office.

And then, as you know firsthand, Roland -FIRST ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LARA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: -- you know, management has been on site 2 or 3 days a week for the past few months or so. FIRST ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LARA: Yeah, we've had -- I mean, we've had --

Commissioner Wendt, we've had people at the front staff (sic) for the entire time to answer mail, to distribute things as they are necessary. So, yeah, we're here. CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Commissioner Wendt, just to bring it back to the subject, we simply, obviously, have to pass certain policies at the Agency that apply to all individuals. That would be my staff, Commissioner Carbonagri's staff, your staff, the Secretary's staff, and the Chief Clerk's Office.

The vaccination survey is just one such policy, and it truly is intended for the health and safety of not just the public but the staff. So we would ask that you comply and have all of your staff comply.

To Mike's credit, 55 percent of your staff has done the right thing and complied and appreciates the fact that this policy applies to them. Why the other 45 percent have not

```
complied is not something that you are reporting to us.
    I mean, did you tell them not to comply?
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: I've already discussed this. I'm so
sick of beating this dead horse. I've already told you. I
told them -- I told my staff I am not making any -- I am not
making any decisions whether or not they need to comply until I
hear from the Supreme Court.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. Did you explain to them that
that is -- that the Board of Review, by a majority of the
Commissioners, has voted that they do have to comply with the
survey? Are they understanding that and willfully violating
the policy and subjecting themselves to discipline?
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: No, that was never discussed.
    No policy can be passed in good conscience if
we -- or me, in this case -- think that it's unconstitutional.
I can't do it. And I'm not going to tell my staff, you know,
"Listen, you need to disclose your private information to me,
or you're going to be fired". That's -- that's a violation.
That's a violation.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I'm not sure what that means. But I
think in fairness --
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: The Constitution.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: In fairness of the 45 percent of your
staff that may be unclear as to this requirement, I would ask
```

that the Secretary's Office communicate by memo to all staff, including Commissioner Wendt's staff, that the Board of Review, on December 6th of 2021, formally passed, by a majority vote of the Commissioners, a personnel policy that requires that all Board of Review staff complete the survey.

It's come to our attention that Commissioner Wendt's staff may not have been so informed. And by this notice and memo, we are formally notifying them that they are required to comply with Board of Review policy with regard to completion of the survey.

We'll give them a new final deadline date for compliance. That's what I think should be happen. I welcome suggestions or thoughts from Commissioner Wendt or Commissioner Cabonargi.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: I mean, you're implying that you know my staff's state of mind.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: No, I'm not suggesting that, Commissioner Wendt. I'm just suggesting that $I$ want to make sure, in fairness to them -- because I don't know what you've communicated to them. I want to make sure that they are aware that this is a requirement of the Agency and that failure to comply with this personnel requirement subjects any staff -not just them, but any staff member -- to discipline, up to and including termination. I think they should be informed.

```
        COMMISSIONER WENDT: Is that in the policy? Because I
    don't think that there's been disciplinary ramifications
    clearly stated.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: If you don't comply with the
    requirements of the Agency, obviously, termination is a
    potential disciplinary action that can be taken.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: And that's stated in the policy?
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Yes. Yes. It says that adverse
    employment action can be taken, including and up to
    termination, which is why I think Commissioner Roger's point is
    a good one. I think the message coming from the Secretary's
    Office, confirming that everyone understands that they have to
    complete the survey. And if they don't, and if they haven't
    been already -- I'll just suggest this, actually:
    An e-mail that goes out. And for those people
    who haven't, being directly contacted offline by the
    Secretary's Office for a one-on-one conversation.
    Maybe there's a password issue. Maybe there's
    a technology issue. I know, in my case, as Roland mentioned,
    one of the two people who didn't complete the survey but is
    fully vaccinated, and boosters, had a password issue. That's
    fine. These things happen.
                            But I think starting with an e-mail from the
Secretary and then one-on-one follow-up, just giving the
```
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employees the opportunity to not suffer an adverse employment action. Just fill out the survey, and just let us start moving forward with planning.

And, by the way, if they still don't do the survey and if they're not responsive to a one-on-one conversation, I don't think they're really setting themselves up for success.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: We don't have a Secretary, do we? Who's the Secretary?

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: That's a good point. I mean, we haven't -- we have a Secretary's Office, and I suppose any one of them can send the e-mail out.

Is there someone else? We could have it come from William.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Okay. So hold on. I just want to get this straight. We keep a person on staff with pay who is on a recording taking cash bribes, but we're going to fire someone that hasn't filled out a survey; is that right?

Is this the gentleman who was taking bribes and is under FBI investigation? I know that you two made the decision to put him on paid leave without any input from me. Is he still getting paid?

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: What's your input?
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Did you discuss this matter with me
at all?
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I don't believe you've voiced any
position whatsoever about it. Do you have a position?
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Don't answer a question with a
question. I mean, respectfully, is this man still getting
paid?
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Commissioner Wendt, there's a
motion on the floor of the vaccine survey update. And I think
what we're trying to do -- and we're making some progress
here -- is, Commissioner Rogers suggested, and I agree, that we
communicate -- that an e-mail go out staff-wide to everyone.
And I would say that it come from William O'Shields, as Chief
Deputy, to instruct anyone who has not completed the survey to
complete it by the end of the week.
And then perhaps next Monday the Secretary's
staff -- not just the Secretary, because we don't have one --
the Secretary's staff can make one-on-one calls and take notes
as those conversations go on to see if there's technology
questions, questions about completing the survey, difficulty
uploading a vaccination card, difficulty amending a vaccination
card. For example, if they got boosted, and they want to amend
it, whatever they need. Maybe they need one-on-one help.
And then they can -- we can identify who
actually wants to get on that 55 percent winning team and move
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forward and who is not going to comply with the policy of the Board.

```
            And for those people who are refusing to
comply with the policy of the Board, they're no different than
anyone else who refuses to comply with the policy of the Board.
They're subject to a negative employment action.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Why won't you send that e-mail out
after the Supreme Court oral arguments?
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Why should we wait?
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: So it doesn't matter to you what the
Supreme Court has to say about the law as it applies to a U.S.
standard?
```

    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Again, the Supreme Court is not
    deciding the constitutionality of the Board of Review.
COMMISSIONER WENDT: The Supreme Court is the -- listen
to me. The Supreme Court is deciding the constitutionality of
a mandate.
So let's just vote on this. Let's just vote
on it.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: In any event --
COMMISSIONER WENDT: I'll call the motion.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I just want to suggest that the memo
require all staff who is not reporting their compliance to
notify, by e-mail, the Secretary's Office by a date certain. I
just don't want any staff who has not complied to not answer their phones when the Secretary's Office is calling. So just --

I think there should be some requirement that they report whether they are or are not going to be completing the survey by e-mail communication to the Secretary's Office by a date certain. That way we have clarity as to whether there is any confusion.

And, again, this is a testament to, you know -- what? Probably $70-\mathrm{pl}$ us percent of the Agency has complied. 55 percent of Commissioner Wendt's staff has complied. We just have this 45 percent; and we're unclear whether they understand the Agency's mandate policy that they comply. We want to make sure that they have a fair opportunity to do so.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: And, you know, let's just be clear. They're complying because you're threatening not to pay them or to fire them.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: No. No. Respectfully -COMMISSIONER WENDT: Call the motion.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: No. Respectfully, there's no threats at all. There's just a pandemic that's affecting the world, and there have to be some actions. There have been executive actions by the Governor's Office on multiple occasions. Those

Page 30
have been upheld in Illinois despite challenges.

There's just things that are necessary for the health and safety of all individuals. We want to make sure that we have as safe of an environment as possible. This is not meant -- it's not meant as a threat to anyone. COMMISSIONER WENDT: Well, it is. It is.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: It's meant to be -COMMISSIONER WENDT: It is. CHAIRMAN ROGERS: It's meant to --

No. No. Just notifying someone of the
ramifications of their decision is not a threat.
COMMISSIONER WENDT: It's coercion. It's coercion.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: No, it's not coercion.
COMMISSIONER WENDT: And I'm happy that I'm going to be
on the right side of the law when this all plays out.

So let's call the motion.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: You do have a history of being on a side of the law.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: What does that mean? What does that mean, Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: What's the motion on the floor, colleagues?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Do you see what you two do to me? Do you see what you two do? This is called bullying. This is

```
called sexism. Keep it up. I mean, you want to call things
```

out like this --
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I guess when you say something against
me, is it racism, if you say something contrary to my position?
No.
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Oh, you're going to call it racism
now?
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I said, "no". I said it's not. Just
because you say something that's contrary to another
Commissioner, be they of a different race or gender, doesn't
make it a gender-based comment or a race-based comment.
I'm saying -- what I'm saying to you --
COMMISSIONER WENDT: I feel threatened. You guys make me
feel threatened.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I don't know why you feel threatened.
I don't -- I'm not threatening you at all.
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Because every meeting we have it's
two against one. It's two men against one woman.
Call the motion. I want this done.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: You know, just so the record is clear,
we've given you every opportunity to state your position. We
just, respectfully, disagree with your position. We think that
the science indicates that it's important to know vaccination
status so you can plan as safe of a work environment as
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possible. And that's the only intent of our policy.
And when we have some indication that your staff is not fully informed that it's a Board policy, we want to make sure that they have a fair chance. So that's what this is intended to do; nothing more and nothing less.

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: And, Commissioner Wendt, you asked for this meeting; and I agreed to this meeting. But, if you check the Minutes, $I$ also asked that, if we're going to have a meeting, we have to have written motions and materials to review ahead of time so that it wouldn't evolve into a conversation that gets off of the subject, because we don't have a subject in front of us. So when you say, "Call the motion", you asked for the meeting.

Okay. I'm going to make a motion. I move that Chief Deputy William O'Shields send an e-mail to all of the staff of the Board of Review today instructing any staff who have not completed the survey to complete the survey by close of business Thursday -- this Thursday -- and that, for those employees who have not completed it by Thursday, close of business, on Friday of this week the Secretary's Office send an individual e-mail to each of those employees who have not completed the survey; and in the e-mail ask if they need assistance in completing the survey.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I second the motion.

```
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: I call the question.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: All in favor --
    Is there any discussion -- further discussion
    on the motion?
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Well, I just want to say that I
    think that it's common knowledge that you can get COVID when
    you're vaccinated. I think most people now with it are
    vaccinated. So that was not mentioned in this discussion.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I think it's also reported that most
    people who are hospitalized and dying are those who have not
    been vaccinated.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: }70\mathrm{ percent are vaccinated, from the
last thing I read.
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: I'd like to call the question on
my motion.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Any further discussion?
                        (No response.)
        CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Hearing none, all in favor of the
    motion indicate so by saying "aye".
        COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Aye.
        CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Aye.
            All against, indicate so by saying "nay".
        COMMISSIONER WENDT: Nay.
        CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Let the record reflect that the "ayes"
```
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    have it, and the motion carries.
And Commissioner -- I'm sorry -- Deputy
Commissioner William O'Shields will prepare the e-mails, as
requested, with a deadline for compliance and a deadline for
notification of the staff as to their willingness to comply or
not to comply.
Just for the record, I do -- since there was
reference to us having no Secretary, I would mention that,
again, Jim Thompson accepted a new position; so we do have a
vacancy in the Secretary's position, but the office has
continued to run without interruption, thanks to the
hardworking staff of the Secretary's Office, including Debra
Brown and others. So we do appreciate that. That's very
important to maintaining an efficient and effectively operating
office.
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Are we going to advertise on the web
site to fill that position?
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: We're going to post the opportunity to
apply for the position publicly so that we can fill that
position as soon as possible.
Moving on with Old Business, is there any
motion -- strike that.
What is the status of monthly meetings and
posting annual meeting dates?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Yeah. I just -- I brought this topic up last month, with the understanding that it would be placed on the Agenda for further discussion.

The Board of Review, historically, has not been very open with their operations. Illinois Statute 5 ILCS 120 requires an annual posting of all meetings prior to the new year. The Board of Review is required to have a minimum of three meetings, of which these have never been posted, as required. So this needs to change so that we can follow the law.

My proposed schedule is to meet, approximately, every other month. Since December has a statutorily mandated date of the first Monday, I propose we bypass a November meeting and then meet on -- so January, March, May, July, September and December. We can still open, you know, 2023, on July 6th.

Of course I don't know when we're going to close for 2022 because it's a moving target. But, as far as crafting an Agenda for each meeting, there should be a presentation of the budget status, as of the previous month or quarter. These budgets are usually presented by a budget analyst or some other senior administrator with budget access. The Commissioners and the public should know how and where we're spending our money. When the 2022 budget
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was crafted, there was a quorum of Board of Review Commissioners present and deliberating, but it wasn't an open meeting. This is contrary to current statute; and my First Assistant has referred it to the Public Access Bureau Division of the Attorney General for Review. Additional Agenda items could be added, as needed, to improve the transparency of the Board of Review.

That's my proposal.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Just to correct the record, for every year that I've been a member -- I'm sorry -- an elected Commissioner of the Board of Review we have had at least three meetings. One in or about May, June, July, when we close. We formally report the closing of the Agency. One in August, September, sometimes October, when we report the reopening of the Agency for filing. And then many, oftentimes, in December, as we did this past year, we have a meeting where we appoint the new chief -- I'm sorry -- chairperson of the Agency.

So I think --
COMMISSIONER WENDT: No, I know.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Well, no. Just for a moment... You suggested that we don't have three formal meetings that are publicized to the public, and that's just simply not accurate. It occurred last year, and it has occurred every year that I've worked at the Agency; so that's

```
inaccurate.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: No. But they weren't posted before
the year began, and that's what the requirement is.
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: I'm sorry. I'm confused.
You're saying the three meetings have to be posted? So our --
wait. I'm sorry. Explain to me when your -- what your
understanding is of when those three meetings have to be
posted.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: It's in the statute.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I don't believe that's accurate. But
if you believe it's accurate, that would mean that you didn't
comply by posting, or proposing even, three dates, as of the
end of 2021, for meetings in 2022, if you're accurate.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: The statute requires an annual
posting of all meetings prior to the new year.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Did you?
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: I'm just saying what the statute
calls for. I mean, you guys are the legacy Commissioners here.
I'm new, so I shouldn't be having to tell you what the statute
says, as far as OMA regulations; but I'm telling you, and I'm
asking you if we could please comply with that.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: You've never respected the familiarity
of Commissioner Cabonargi and I with the operation of the
Agency or its history. You've just made allegations and
```

```
criticisms, as you just did in your comments a moment ago --
    Let me finish. Let me finish.
    They really are not helpful at all. It makes
it very difficult to work with someone who does nothing but
cast aspersions and criticisms and throws out suggestions of
discriminatory actions when there really are none. I've never
had this experience with another elected official, quite
frankly, before your election.
    But, you know, that being said, I'm happy to
deal with it, as best I can. As always, I'm happy to work with
you. I welcome you to communicate with me effectively. The
things that you just read off of a piece of paper is not
something that I can really digest. I didn't hear any proposed
dates. You're referencing a description in the statute that I
don't believe to be accurate, but I don't have it in front of
me, and you haven't provided it.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: I did provide it.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: You provided the statute that you're
saying requires a posting?
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Does someone want to pull it up?
5/ILCS --
```

    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: No. No. No. Commissioner
        Wendt, I just want to jump back. You just read something on
        here. And, again, what did I -- I just want to refer to what I
    just said a minute ago and what $I$ said a month ago. If you're asking to have regular meetings, then you need to come prepared. You clearly have something in front of you that you just read to us. And on the Agenda as Old Business is "Motion to -- it references as a calendar. I don't have a calendar that was provided to me by you, so I'm not sure what we're discussing here.

This is exactly what $I$ was concerned about is an open, kind of an unstructured conversation. There's a motion on the floor that you'd like to present and a proposed calendar. It hasn't been given to us.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: I don't know why you two continue to do this: deflect, telling me I'm unprepared. I'm reading notes that I prepared for today's meeting. I just told you the months that I am proposing that we meet -- every other month.

Why are you telling me that I have no idea what I'm talking about? I mean, did you guys take the OMA training? Why are you asking me what the statute says?

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Just to answer the question, I'm not deflecting. And this gets back to what Commissioner Rogers said, so $I$ want to be careful in my words here.

I'm not deflecting. I am not attacking you. I am being respectful of who you are. I am just saying that, in my experience, meetings work better when we have an Agenda
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and written materials to review. So that we all are on the same page as to what we are reviewing.

You asked for this meeting, Commissioner Wendt. I was happy to be here for the meeting. I'm happy to be here for anytime you want to meet. But $I$ would like -- I think it's more effective if we have a clear agenda as to what we're talking about.

So you're saying -- I don't have it in front of me. I still don't have it. You're proposing a calendar of meeting monthly. It says here, "Regular BOR monthly meeting" and "Posting annual meeting".

So you want to meet monthly or every other month?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Every other month. I would suggest that $I$ don't think monthly is necessary.

But, you know, you're saying this wasn't on the Agenda. "Motion 1: Vaccine Survey Update". You just took action on that by saying that you're going to send out an e-mail. That wasn't on the Agenda. I mean, you took action on that.

I put on here, "Regular BOR monthly meeting and "Posting annual meeting calendar". I'm suggesting every other (sic). I don't understand why you're saying this wasn't on the Agenda when it was. And I just told -- I just asked you
if you could meet every other month. This was an Agenda item. CHAIRMAN ROGERS: No, I think, just for clarity, what the Old Business reference says is, quote, "Regular Board of Review monthly meeting" and "Posting annual meeting calendar". That's what's on the Agenda.

What you just described is different than that, in that it proposes every other month.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Okay. Well, if you want to meet every --

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I'm sorry. Just let me finish. Let me finish.

It also references a requirement that we post the dates of at least three meetings before -- as I understand it -- before a calendar year. When you made that reference, that was a reference that $I$ was saying $I$ wasn't familiar with, that requirement. And I simply wanted to reference that we typically do post at least three meetings every year. So all we're asking is for some clarity, I guess, on this annual posting of the meeting calendar. That's not something that $I$ am, quite frankly, familiar with, the requirement that it be posted before the calendar year, as to the dates of the meetings.

So if you have that reference, what $I$ would
propose is that we have the staff look at that so we can make
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an informed decision. I'd like to be informed. And then we can decide on that -- how about at the next meeting? And we set a new meeting date today where we can take up that issue to see what compliance is -- what is required, if, in fact, that's required?

Is that fair?
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Sure. I mean, I just told you what the requirement was. I don't know why you need to verify it with your staff.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: You don't know why I want to read it for myself? I'd just prefer to read it so $I$ know that we are understanding it to have the same requirement. That's all. Can you allow me that opportunity?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Sure.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. So we will set a new date, meeting date, where we will take up that issue. And we'll go from there.

Anything else that you want to say on that subject matter?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Nope. No. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. I would ask that you retract your statement that we have not been posting meetings in the history of the Board. I don't think that was really fair.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: No, I don't think that you have been

```
posting them timely like the statute requires.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: You mean in December, for the next
year?
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Prior to the new year is what the
statute requires.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. And would it be fair to say
that, in December of 2021, in November of 2021, you didn't
propose any schedule to be posted for 2022?
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Why are you talking about what I
did?
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Because you were a Commissioner at that
time. And if you're saying that that was required, you didn't
propose anything for November or December of 2022, which would
mean, I guess, you were not in compliance.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Well, I was sworn in in December. I
was sworn in in December of 2020.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: 2020.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Mm-hmm.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: So you had a year to propose a calendar
that you say is required. Just so the record is clear, you
didn't propose that for 2020 or 2021, for that matter.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Well, did you guys take the OMA
training and receive a certificate?
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: We're talking about --
```

You won't even tell us your vaccination status. You're asking us to report something to you. Can you just tell me --

We can move on. We know that you didn't propose any schedules.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Well, there weren't any other meetings in 2020, so I don't know what you wanted me to propose.

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: No. I think what I've been -and I'm sorry to interrupt. I think what I'm hearing from Commission Rogers is you're saying that the -- that Commissioner Rogers and I have violated your understanding of the Open Meetings Act because we didn't publish, a year in advance, the 2021 calendar in 2020, because you're saying by December 30th of 2020, that was our deadline to publish our dates for the meetings in 2021.

You were a Commissioner by December 30th, 2020. So if the Board didn't publish it, it was your responsibility as well. Similarly, for the record, it's January 3rd today. At no point, in 2021, when you were a Commissioner, did you propose a calendar of meetings for 2022. Under your -- that's your understanding of the statute. Again, I need to look at it and refresh my memory on it. But, you know, I'd like to move on to New Business, if we
can, because we're approaching 2 hours.
COMMISSIONER WENDT: I'm just trying to fix things moving forward.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. But, again, it's not our agency. It's an agency that all three of us are responsible for operating. So when you say we didn't do something, you should say -- that you think is required -- you didn't do it either.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: I'm saying that it hasn't been done in years, or ever. So let's just agree that we're going to -COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: But, again, you were a Commissioner for the last month of 2020 and throughout 2021. And for the 2021 calendar and the 2022 calendar, at no point did you propose a calendar of meetings, which, under your interpretation of the law, would violate the law. That's, again, your interpretation.

But, again, I think, Commissioner Rogers, I
like what you're saying. Let's take a -- I'd like to take a look at it.

Commissioner Wendt, if your notes are something that you could share with us, I'd like to make those part of the record so $I$ can take a look at what was read in, if those are written notes, if you're willing to share them. If not, I completely understand. But it might be helpful. And then we can revisit this at our next meeting.
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And moving on to New Business, Chair...
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: New Business: There's a --
I'd like a report from the staff on the status of travel and reimbursement expenses and procedures that are, or need to be, in place. It's kind of come to my attention that we've had an unprecedented number of requests to reimburse expenses that $I$ would say are kind of out of the norm, which include some travel expenses. I think maybe some hotel expenses.

Can I have a member of the staff kind of give us an update on what those are? If you have any suggestions about a policy for addressing that, I'd like to hear your thoughts on it. Is someone from the Secretary's Office on the line?

CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER O'SHIELDS: I'm having maybe some technical issues.
(Brief pause.)
CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER O'SHIELDS: So would you like me to speak to it? Because what I'm really just referring to is a document titled Cook County Travel \& Business Expenses Policy and Procedures.

And, in essence, just a quick summation, oftentimes, just because we run a very lean operation here, certain reimbursement requests should be presented to the

Secretary's Office, because they are the ones that take care of the billings, and they go through procurement and whatnot prior to those expenses being incurred versus after the fact.

Again, it really boils down to just making sure that we have the monies available to, basically, fund the reimbursement rather than undertaking whatever activity, and getting billed, and then asking later. It's, particularly, an issue as we near the end of our fiscal year, like around in November and October and whatnot.

And so that is really the main focus of just raising this issue, in terms of just the procedures.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. So for historical purposes, we have certain training requirements, such as IAAO training. And we, in order to be lean and efficient, try to make sure staff participates in those activities when they're local as opposed to you need to travel out of state and incur unnecessary travel and hotel expenses.

And we've operated largely on an honor system in that regard. We have not seen, historically, Commissioners or their staffs presenting, you know, sizable bills or out of the norm requests.

As of late, I'm told that there have been some requests for some, $I$ think, out of state travel and reimbursement. And so I wanted to have that issue sort of
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discussed so we can decide what we need to do. Because we don't want to be in a situation where we are out of money that we've been budgeted or we are outside of our budget because we've incurred expenses that were not included in our initial budget.

And we need to discuss whether we need some type of a pre-approval process, or a deadline, or date by which any such requests are submitted or pre-approved.

Any thoughts? Anyone aware of out-of-state travel, or hotel expenses, things of that nature?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: I don't know of any out-of-state travel that any of myself or my staff have requested. There's been none.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: What about --

COMMISSIONER WENDT: The only expense --
What was that?

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I was asking about hotel or any other expenses.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Springfield?
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I'm not familiar. Did you have hotel charges for Springfield?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: I don't know.

Okay. Springfield. I went to Springfield,
yes. I had hotel charges for Springfield. That was to obtain
my CIAO.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. Do you mean Springfield that's about 3 hours away?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Springfield, Illinois.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. I don't know the detail of the expenses. I don't know what was submitted.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Yeah. I was testing in Springfield, yes. Two tests in one day, 9:00 o'clock and 1:00 o'clock. One night.

But you know what? I'm glad you brought this up; because, when we were presenting the budget to the Board of Commissioners, one thing was clear that they wanted to see was individual budgets for each Commissioner. So I think that that needs to be a priority for our 2023 budget.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Yeah, I don't know that they were clear that they wanted to see it; but I think that issue was raised. But I don't believe we've ever received any type of budget proposal from you at any point in time, in 2020 or 2021. With that being the case, what are your thoughts on the types of policies we should have for pre-approval of budgetary expenses, like hotels?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Jim Thompson, who was Secretary at the time, advised me on how to submit reimbursements. I mean, I've been told what the rules are. I didn't make any rules.
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I've been told what the rules are.

But I think Commissioners -- but Commissioners should be able to approve expenses for their staff.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. Without limitation?
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Well, reasonable. I mean, if it's for education.

You know, I've been trying to get education -funding for education for a long time; and, you know, I was denied. When there was significant amounts of money still left in the budget, $I$ was denied by you two in getting my staff their CIAO. This is what Jim Thompson advised me, that you guys would not share the remainder of your education budget with my staff.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I don't think you're accurately describing what occurred there.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: That's exactly what occurred. He said he would inquire, and he did.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I believe the educational budgetary line item is shared equally amongst the staffs. And your request was to utilize more than your allotment for your staff, which would deprive our staff of the opportunity to be up to date with their educational requirements.

So your request to call us names -- I'm
sorry -- to ask to use our proceeds while calling us names and

```
criticizing us, yes, that was denied.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Yeah, no, that's not what happened.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I owe my staff the opportunity to be up
to date with their training just as you do.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: I had a bill from the last
Commissioner, Dan Patlak. That had to come out of -- that had
to come out of my budget. That was not for the education of my
staff.
    So when Jim Thompson told me that there was no
money left in my budget because of Dan Patlak's staff, he
informed me that there was still budget money left from you two
that you weren't utilizing for your own staff and that he would
inquire as to whether or not you would allow me to use that.
And then he came back to me and said that you wouldn't.
                    So that's where we're at.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I think, just for clarity, those were
monies that we had not, as of that date and time, utilized.
That was before the IAAO conference that was in town. And,
again, those are allotments for our staff.
                    You chose to hire who you chose to hire and
fire who you chose to fire. And if you brought in people who
were not adequately trained and let go of people who were
adequately trained, that was a decision you made. But it does
not become my responsibility to give you portions of my budget
```

meant to train my staff to train your staff. That's just not
fair to my staff.
But, anyway, we're getting a bit off topic.
The question is, do we want to have some type of a pre-approval
process for the submission of expenses that are incurred by
staff? It could be a dollar amount. It could be -- it can
happen a variety of ways. But I think we probably need to have
some sort of system in place so that we avoid getting into a
budgetary problem.
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: You know, if I can make a motion
here, I would -- rather than the three of us drafting one right
now, I would like to hear from the Secretary's Office and the
First Deputies, because they're closer to it, as to what
they're proposing.
So, if we can, I'd like to move this to next
month's Agenda and continue this discussion and give --
I think Debra -- I think you mentioned at the
beginning, Commissioner Rogers, that Debra was hoping to join
us. I think she's have technical difficulties.
I don't know if this is time-sensitive. It
doesn't sound like it is. But I'd rather postpone this till
next month -- or to our next regularly scheduled meeting, if
that's possible.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: That makes sense. And perhaps the
staff can give us some historical perspective, over the last 2 , 4, maybe 6 months, about what they've seen, in terms of reimbursement requests, so we can have a context within what should be the recommendation.

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: I mean, if I can just speak for a moment, I'm just going to speak to me.

I mean, I use the majority of my training
budget for the annual December meeting, the IAAO legal conference, which is held in Chicago every other year. When it's held out of the state, we do not attend it. So my staff doesn't have travel, or hotel, or per diem, or anything like that. They're simply not at those meetings.

But, historically, every other year the December meeting, to my understanding, is held in Chicago. And that's when $I$ send all of my attorneys to those meetings -- as well as some other staff who aren't attorneys -- primarily, who practice in the commercial space -- to that; because it's invaluable. And I give them that as part of their work duties. So, you know, they're paid for that. That's their regular workday. And then $I$ use part of my training budget to pay for their fee, their admission fee, that we have to pay for that.

And then, Commissioner Wendt, as I recall it -- I'd have to go back and talk to Roland -- when you asked for our remaining training budget, we had already committed
that, because Jim Thompson, at the time, brought that back to Roland. And Roland and I talked that we did have a commitment in December of what we were going to be doing training-wise with our staff, so we had that money obligated.

I understand. The election calendar and the fiscal calendar do not align themselves. And we've all talked about it before, that you end up inheriting a budget that your previous Commissioner had spent down. And that's, you know, kind of above my pay grade, in what the election calendar and the swearing in calendar is. But moving forward I don't think we're going to have that problem.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Are you okay with deferring this to the next meeting, Commissioner Wendt?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: You know, I just want to say that that money was spent by Commissioner Patlak in 2019, and I was charged for it in 2020; so, you know, that did affect me. And then, also, I just want to make a reply on what you said, Commissioner Rogers, as far as letting go of trained staff in exchange for untrained staff. You know, I kept half of Commissioner Patlak's staff, which I think is probably something that is a first when it comes to the Board of Review.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: The "probably" suggests that you don't know.

```
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: "Probably" suggests that most
Commissioners replace the entire staff; and I do know that.
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: I didn't. Just for the record,
I didn't. I'm not included in that "probably" or "mostly".
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Well, my point is --
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Historically, that's an inaccurate
statement.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Well, I don't know why you had to
bring that up, Commissioner Rogers. I'm replying to it.
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Well, just for the record, you
made a suggestion, Commissioner Wendt. You said "historically"
and, broad, "general". And I'm saying I want to speak to me --
Commissioner Cabonargi -- I did not replace Board of Review
staff when I came onboard.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Did you keep half? Did you keep
half?
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: I kept most of them who were
here.
            But my situation was a little bit different,
Commissioner Wendt, because I was appointed to fill a vacancy.
And my predecessor was elected to be Assessor and took the
majority of his staff with him; so I had a large number of
vacancies to fill.
    That's why I just want to make it clear.
```

You're saying you're the first one to keep, suggesting that I didn't. My situation is not the same as yours.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: My point is, most of the time I know it's very unusual for an elected official to keep the entire staff of their predecessor. I don't think that this is news to anybody.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: It's just difficult when you make statements as if --

COMMISSIONER WENDT: You brought it up.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: It's just difficult when you make statements that are not fact. It's difficult to ignore them without trying to set the record straight. So I appreciate --

COMMISSIONER WENDT: I'm sorry if I used broad terms.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. Are you okay with deferring this to the staff for a recommendation at the next meeting?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Yes. Yes.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. Thank you.
The next item of New Business is public commentary policy discussion.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: I asked for this to be put on the Agenda to address the lack of a formal Board of Review policy on public participation, as required by the statute. It says, "Any person shall be permitted an opportunity to address public officials under the rules established and recorded by the
public body".
So the problem is that the Board doesn't have any rules established. So, you know, currently typing questions or comments in the chat is the only forum available to the public. This is not what the law states. So the public needs to be able to participate in the public meetings. And this does not meet the standard.

The Cook County Board of Commissioners policy is likely a model that we should go for. It allows for 3 minutes of time to address the Board. Requests need to be submitted at least 24 hours in advance. And they have decorum rules as well.

Without a policy in place, though, I think we risk what could amount to profane use of time. To stop someone from participating without rules will also result in a violation of the statute. We need to be able to run the meeting efficiently. So I just am proposing that we establish some rules.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. So you believe a model rule would be what is utilized by the Board of Commissioners with regard to public meeting commentary? Is that your proposal? COMMISSIONER WENDT: I think so. I think that's a fine model.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay.
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COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: I'm sorry, Commissioner Rogers. I'm not familiar with -- I'm going to admit that I'm not familiar with --

So anyone who wants to speak has to submit their statement -- no. I'm sorry. They don't have to submit their statement. They have to, within 24 hours, before the meeting, contact the -- in our case that would probably be the Secretary's Office or our Chief Deputy to ask to speak at the meeting? Is that correct?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: Right. 24 hours, within 24 hours.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Can $I$ ask the staff? I believe we do that. Did we post the opportunity for public comment before today's meeting?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: No.
CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER O'SHIELDS: Yes. Well, Commissioner, right now we do have a member of the Clerk's staff monitoring the chat. So if there are any particular questions raised by the public, she will notify the Chairman of the questions, and then you could address those questions then.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. So my question --
COMMISSIONER WENDT: But our Agenda doesn't have that.
I'm sorry. Our Agenda didn't state that, though, that we -- we don't have that on our Agenda.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: For clarification, you said that we did
not post the opportunity for public comment at least 24 hours before the meeting. I asked staff, for clarification, did we post the opportunity for the public to comment at this meeting at least 24 hours before the meeting.

What was your response, William?
CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER O'SHIELDS: I had to make sure I was clicking the right button.

We have someone monitoring -- because I have to look at the web site just to verify it. But we do make that option available to folks who wish to participate.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. So we have complied, contrary -Factually, contrary to what Commissioner Wendt has alleged, we have complied before this meeting.

Your suggestion about adding parameters, such as time frame, for commentary is something we can take -- we can consider; but $I$ don't have what you described as possibly a model policy in front of me. It wasn't circulated or provided; meaning, the policy that the County Board uses. So I'd like a chance to review that so $I$ can decide whether that is an appropriate policy here. I imagine there should be some parameters also to keep people on topic of the Agenda. So why don't we take a -- I don't know. Commission Wendt, do you want to circulate that policy so we can decide what portions of it or whether all of it is
applicable and appropriate for our Agency?
CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: Hi, Commissioner Rogers.

Commissioner Wendt, let me speak for a minute to this point.

So, Commissioner Rogers, $I$ don't know if you're aware of it. I'm a public official in Illinois as well. And I'm very aware of the Open Meetings Act. And the ability for the public to address the Board of Review is paramount to the statute. It does not --

The standard would be to be able to address the Board either in person or in a virtual setting, such as this. The standard of just typing a question is just not their -- does not meet the standard of public participation.

So it's something that the Board of
Commissioners takes very seriously. They allow 3 minutes. A lot of boards fail to put a policy in place. And what that does is it creates a filibuster opportunity for people, because you can't interrupt them when they speak if you do not have policy.

If you put a time parameter on it, you can then restrict them to 3 minutes, 5 minutes, 15 minutes, whatever the Board agrees to. And this is Illinois Statute IL 120.2.06. It's been part of the OMA statute for decades,
and it's just -- it's something that the Board of Review has failed to allow in the past; but $I$ think it's paramount to public business that the public be allowed to address. The public does not have to address anything on the Agenda that day. There can't be a requirement for submitting a statement. There can't be a requirement for geography. There can't be a requirement for in person. Also, anyone who shows up to a meeting, whether in person or virtual, has the opportunity to report it. It's, again, part of the Open Meetings Act.

So I'll go ahead, and I'll let Commissioner Wendt speak to it. But $I$ just wanted to give my opinion on it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Thank you.

Before you go, I am not familiar the office you hold. Can you share that with me?

CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: Pardon me?
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: You said you are an elected official, a public official.

CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: Yeah. I'm a fire trustee.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. Where is this?
COMMISSIONER WENDT: In Palos, the Fire Protection District.
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```
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: And in terms of your familiarity with
    what the OMA requires, is that --
    Again, I don't know whether you just read the
statute or if someone advised you. I don't really know the
basis of your opinion. I need to know that to kind of give it
some context.
    CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: Well, the basis of
the opinion is transparency.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: No. No. No. That's not the -- your
basis. What makes you an authority on the OMA?
    CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: I've taken several
OMA training courses. I'm OMA certified within my fire
district, as all public agencies are required to have. Their
elected and their appointed members have OMA training.
                    We also have an OMA officer within our public
agency, which the Board of Review is required to have; but we
do not have one. So I'm very familiar with the Opening
Meetings Act and the parameters and the requirements within it.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. Are you familiar with --
            Does your board vote on policy?
    CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: All of the time,
yeah. I don't know why that's pertinent, though.
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I'm just asking.
        Does it rule by a majority vote?

CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: We have a board of five. The quorum is three.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: And you make decisions, and you rule by a majority vote?

CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: Yes. Of course. CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Much like the Board of Review?

CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: Much like the Board
```

of Review, other than it's five instead of three.

```

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: So majority vote for the Board of Review would be two or three to establish policy of the Agency, based on your experience?

CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: Based on my experience, yes.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: And you are Commissioner Wendt's chief of staff?

CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: I am. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. Thank you for sharing that information.

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Commissioner Rogers, can I make a motion?

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: This is on New Business.
I move to consider a public commentary policy for the board at the next meeting. And, in the meantime, for a
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copy of whatever policy that Commissioner Wendt is suggesting, be circulated.

Separate from the policy Commissioner Wendt is circulating, I would like to see the Cook County Board's policy as well. And I guess the third item is \(I\) would like the Chief Deputy O'Shields to ask for a legal opinion from the State's Attorney's Office on our current compliance with public comment coming in virtually. Those are the three things that \(I\) would like to see.

Before I get off track and start saying other things, that's the motion I've got on the floor. I don't know if there's a second.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Commissioner Wendt, is there a second?
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Sure. Second.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I'll call the question.
Any further discussion? (No response.)

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Hearing none, all in favor of the motion please indicate so by saying "aye".
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: The motion carries. We will defer further discussion of that -- of the public commentary policy to the next meeting, with instructions, as indicated, to Chief Deputy William owe shield.
```

        The next item of New Business: Executive
    Session, if necessary. Is there any need to go into executive
session?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Hearing none, we will move on to
adjournment.
Is there a motion for adjournment of the
meeting, with the Board of Review remaining in continuous
session for the purposes of adjudication of appeals and other
matters, as required by the Illinois Property Tax Code.
COMMISSIONER WENDT: What about public comment?
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Is there public comment that
we've received through our portal?
COMMISSIONER WENDT: I don't know.
DEPUTY IN CHARGE BROWN: There is one public comment.
They're just concerned that there's nothing on the web site for
them to address the Board directly.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Did they post a comment?
DEPUTY IN CHARGE BROWN: They did.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I'm sorry. Can you read the comment?
DEPUTY IN CHARGE BROWN: There is nothing on the web site
for me to be able to address the Board.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: And who's is from?
DEPUTY IN CHARGE BROWN: Anonymous.

```

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. And, as of right now, we require posting through commentary in our comment section?

DEPUTY IN CHARGE BROWN: Correct.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. What would you like to do?
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: If "Anonymous" is watching our commentary and would like to comment or has a question or concern, I think we'd like to hear it. But to their point of, you know, there's no opportunity to participate, the floor is theirs.

So if they have a question or a concern that they'd like to present to us, now is the time, by all means.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Sure. I mean, is there a way for us to identify who it is?

COMMISSIONER WENDT: I think it's a question. I don't think it's a comment.

COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: No. No. But if they're comment is they have no way to give comment, I think they've answered their own question. They are giving us their comment. We're hearing them, and now is their chance to participate.

I mean, it's anonymous; so I'm not sure who it is. You know, if it's Mr. Thielmannn or if it's someone else who has posted that. But, again, if that person would like to...

COMMISSIONER WENDT: But why would say that? Why would
you say if it's Mr. Thielmannn.
COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Well, because it's anonymous. It could be Mr. Thielmann. It could be Mr. Lara.

CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: I did post two questions that haven't been asked. So there's a mediator that's limiting public participation, which is not the standard of OMA.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Did you post those as "Anonymous"?
CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: I sure did. But there's no requirement to identify who you are in public participation of a meeting.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Well, Mr. Thielmann, you certainly have been allowed to participate on video. So what would you like to talk about?

CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: But I'm showing the restrictions that you're placing on the public by me posting two questions and them not being asked because the mediator determined that they weren't pertinent.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: No. Actually, what happened just now is we welcome "Anonymous" to participate when we were informed that there was a comment. And then we can't identify who "Anonymous" is; and lo and behold, Commissioner Cabonargi was actually right.

CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: No, I did not -- I
did not post that question.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Okay. Anyway, if you have something -if you have some commentary, you obviously are a Chief Deputy Commissioner to Commissioner Wendt. You need not post anonymously. But you are welcome to make whatever comments you have.

CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: I posted it to test the system, Commissioner; and it failed.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: You are a part of the system.
CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: Yeah. But I am not part of public in these proceedings. I am part of the administration in these proceedings.

As a member of the public I should be able to participate in the public portion of a public meeting; and I was not able to do that.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: My point is that you are a Chief -You are Tammy -- Commissioner Wendt's Chief of Staff. There are chief meetings, and the role of each Commissioner and their respective staff is to improve the Agency. And you have never raised this issue, or made a suggestion or recommendation since 2020, when Commissioner Wendt was elected, about improving the system.

You stand as if you're outside of the system and posting things anonymously. You are a part of the system.

If you want to improve the Agency, we welcome your input to improve the Agency. You need not act anonymously. You are recognized as a part of her staff who, as you indicated, has to comply with Board policies.

As a member of the -- whatever fire protection agency that you serve on, you know those policies apply to all staff by a majority vote, as they do at the Board. And you are part of the system. Participate. Don't -- you don't have to hide behind "anonymous".

COMMISSIONER WENDT: He's not hiding, Commissioner.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Do you have something that you would like to raise, Mr. Thielmann?

CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: Yes. I didn't want to interrupt you.

So I've been banging the drum about OMA
compliance since probably February of 2021 . It resulted in little progress within the administration. I banged the drum on the Consultant Detection Program, which did not lead to any change within the Administration of the Agency at this point. So I have been trying to follow the protocol internally through the chiefs to make this Agency better; but just like Commissioner Wendt experiences, it's a 2 to 1 vote on everything. So that's the way it goes. And I understand that. So I've gone beyond the Agency to try to
correct these issues. As you're all well aware, there are several complaints into the Public Access Bureau. There was complaints into the Office of the Inspector General only because I wasn't able to get anywhere internally. And I said repeatedly, "Do not be on the wrong side of history on these items", and I was laughed at. So you left me with -- you left me no choice but to go to the outside -- outside our agency. I am trying to improve it. Commissioner Wendt is trying to improve it.

And then getting back to my work on the fire board, we've never passed a policy that was borderline unconstitutional. So to try to point -- to connect those dots there: ludicrous. But I wouldn't expect anything less, respectfully, Commissioner.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I'm not sure what that means. I do -I beg to differ. We welcome any efforts to generally improve the Agency. Respectfully, you can't pick and choose what are model policies from the Cook County Board. They have model policies regarding employment. They have model policies regarding health and welfare, such as a survey. And you can't, in fairness, \(I\) think, pick and choose.

So, you know, I don't know what your experience has been thus far. I'm the same guy always. I'm happy to welcome any meaningful, constructive participation in
the Agency, any added value.
If you choose to act as if you're outside of the Agency, go to our agencies, you're welcome to do that. But, you know, I think you can be familiar with people who throw stones and Molotov cocktails and then claim to be victims. That's not a very productive way to work with colleagues.

So, again, I have an open-door policy. I'm happy to communicate with you anytime. You don't have to hide behind anonymous posts. You're welcome to anytime give me a call, and I'm happy to talk to you, Todd. I don't think you've ever reached out to me since you came to the Agency. And I think we started to see these outside communications once we brought to your attention and Commissioner Wendt's attention the fact that your hire was in violation of Cook County's ethics policy regarding hiring family. Not my policy. No ill intent. I'm just trying to speak to you about what's required by the County Board.

So I'll leave it at that. Again, I welcome your input.

Commissioner Cabonargi looked like he had something to say.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: I have something to say. Can you please read the \(Q\) \& \(A\) live questions since we're so
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transparent?
    CHAIRMAN ROGERS: We can get to that. I just, as the
chairperson, called on Commissioner Cabonargi to comment upon
what is currently on the table.
    COMMISSIONER WENDT: Sure.
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: No. Please. Please. Please.
Answer Commissioner Wendt, respectfully. She asked a question.
    CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: There were
questions that were presented by "Anonymous". We don't need to
read them.
    What my attempt was -- or what I wanted to
showcase was the fact that public participation is not genuine.
If it goes through a mediator, it is not genuine. You would
not be able to do that. I think it was Commissioner Cabonargi
that explained during the last meeting that, when there is
public meetings, the staff would come into the hearing room;
the three Commissioners would be up on the bench; and they
would hold their public meeting.
    I don't know. I wasn't here, so I can't say
whether the public was invited to come to that event.
    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Yes. I'm so glad you brought
that up. Allow me to speak to that --
    CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: Can I finish,
Commissioner Cabonargi?
```

    COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Of course. Of course.
    CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER THIELMANN: So Commissioner
    Cabonargi answered my question, which is great. I mean, that's
    the way it should work. And I don't know what the public
    policy was for that public to address the Board back in those
    days when they were in person. But under --
    In this virtual scenario, you have to allow
    the public to participate. It's statute. And to curtail it
with a mediator and with questions only, that's not public
participation by the standard.
So that's all I wanted to do was presenting
those questions. They don't need to be read. It was more of a
test of the system than anything. So I appreciate --
And, Commissioner Rogers, I will reach out.
It does go both ways. And I haven't been sought out by you as
well; but I will reach out to you.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: I don't have anything to ask you.
That's why I haven't called you. But if you have something to
ask me or you have an issue that you want to raise with me,
then I invite you and welcome you to get my cell phone number
from Mr. O'Shields. Commissioner Wendt has it.
Again, I'm always happy to listen to
constructive, meaningful comment from any member, be they
chief of staff, analyst, or otherwise.

```
        COMMISSIONER WENDT: I think that --
        COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Commissioner Wendt, I did want
    to speak to something, because Mr. Thielmannn mentioned that he
    and -- he was not at the Board of Review. I would say --
    I would encourage him and Commissioner Wendt
    to go back and read all of our Minutes for all of our meetings.
    If the suggestion is we're not transparent and the public
    doesn't have eyes in, that's obviously disproven by what we're
    doing here now. It's obviously disproven because we have all
    of our Minutes transcribed, and our Minutes provided.
    So if Mr. Thielmann wants to answer his own
    question, he can. Just go back and look at any of the Minutes
    for any of our meetings; and what you'll see on there is the
    public is always given the opportunity to speak at our
    meetings.
    It is much easier, in my opinion, to do these
    meetings live. Because, as \(I\) mentioned at the last meeting,
    the three Commissioners come out with the chief deputies and
    the staff of the Board; and it functions, luckily, also as a
    staff meeting, too, because we're able to speak to all of our
    staff at one time together -- again, safely able to be
    together.

But the public is invited to attend. Notice of our meeting is published, as required by law. It's posted,
as required by law. Our Agenda is posted, as required by law. Our notice provisions of 48 hours notice -- I think it's 48 hours. Maybe it's 72. Whichever one it is, we follow that as well. And the public is given an opportunity to come in and speak at our regularly scheduled Board meetings. We've always followed that.

I find it interesting here -- and it doesn't need a response -- is that, when I gave you the floor, Commissioner Wendt to say, if there's two questions and answers, \(I\) 'd like to hear them so that, again, we're having a public meeting and if the public has questions...

Then Mr. Thielmannn said, "Nah, this -- it doesn't really matter what they were. They were just a test". We passed that test, because the Commissioners are saying, "If you have questions, public, we'd love to hear them now. Again, we are here to hear from you now".

So for anyone to say, "No, that was just a test", great. We passed. We're having this engagement. COMMISSIONER WENDT: Well, you're both spinning it. You're both spinning it. And I think the public that's watching can see what's happening.

And, Commissioner Rogers, when you told Todd he can call you anytime with any issues he has, you have a chief of staff. That's his job. Todd told you already that
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he's gone round and round with both of your chief of staffs on all of these issues; and it's all fallen on deaf ears. So there's your answer. He's not going to call you. You have a chief of staff for that.

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Commissioner Wendt, again, if for whatever reason Mr. Thielmann thinks that he is not making headway on meaningful, constructive issues that are in the best interest of the Agency with my staff, I do welcome him to call me. If you don't want him to call me, you can so instruct him; but my door is open, and he's welcome to call me.

COMMISSIONER WENDT: He can call you anytime. But, you know, I mean, I think that's what I think William is there for. COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: Can I make a motion to adjourn? CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Please do. COMMISSIONER CABONARGI: We're at an hour and 45.

So I move to adjourn the meeting of the Board, remaining in continuous session for the purpose of adjudication of appeals and other matters as required by the Illinois
```

Property Tax Code.

```

CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Is there a second?
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Second.
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: All in favor indicate so by saying.
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN ROGERS: Let the record reflect that the board
```

remains in adjournment.
Thank you all for participating. Have a
great, safe, and healthy day and week. Happy 2022.
COMMISSIONER WENDT: Thank you, everyone. Happy New
Year.
(Whereupon, the above entitled matter
was adjourned.)
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